YG-DCO-125

Yorkshire Green Energy Enablemen (GREEN) Project

Volume 8

Document 8.23.9 Applicant's Deadline 5 Response to ISH3 Hearing Action Points

Final Issue A July 2023

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020024

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(q) Page intentionally blank

Contents

1.	About this document	1
1.	Introduction	1
2.	The Applicant's Response to ISH3 Action Points	2
	Table 2.1 – Deadline 5 Response to ISH3 Action Points	2

Version History				
Document	Version	Status	Description / Changes	
11/07/2023	А	Final	First Issue	

1. About this document

1. Introduction

- 1.1.1. This document provides National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc's (National Grid) (the Applicant) Deadline 5 response to Action Points addressed to the Applicant arising from Issue Specific Hearing (ISH) 3 on the draft Development Consent Order and related matters held on Friday 26 May 2023, in respect of the Yorkshire Green Energy Enablement Project (Yorkshire GREEN) (the Project). They are in addition to the Deadline 4 Submission Applicant's Response to Issue Specific Hearing 3 (ISH3) Hearing Action Points (Document 8.23.6) [REP4-028].
- 1.1.2. Responses to actions addressed to the Applicant are provided in **section 2** below.

2. The Applicant's Response to ISH3 Action Points

Action No.	ExA description	Party	Deadline	Response
1	New Requirement (R) for a site- specific construction stage mitigation scheme for the Travellers' Site to be inserted into the next iteration of the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO).	Applicant	D5	 National Grid has included a new Requirement 19 within the draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) submitted at as follows: Site Specific Mitigation Scheme 19.—(1) No part of Work No. 10 that affects the Travellers' Encampment may commence until a scheme activities arising from those works, including noise, dust, vibration, and visual effects (including from light in writing by the relevant planning authority. (2) The scheme referred to in sub-paragraph (1) must include the approach to liaison for the Travellers' Encampment must b approved scheme referred to in sub-paragraph (1) above, unless otherwise agreed with the relevant plann A new definition of Travellers' Encampment has also been added to make clear where the site specific m Encampment." This new Requirement 19 provides that a scheme for site specific mitigation, which ensures adequate midust, vibration, and visual effects, must be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority prio Work No.10 that affects plots E7-34 and E7-40 on Section E of the Land Plan (Document 2.5.5 (B)) [astailpiece, which allows for alternative agreement with the relevant planning authority. This is necessary be of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site specific mitigation scheme would also need to variable of the Travellers' Encampment may vary and so the site spe
2	Review and elaborate wording for community liaison officer over access arrangements in Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)/ Code of Construction Practice (CoCP).	Applicant	D5	An update to the wording in relation to the role of the Transport Coordination Officer is provided in Sectio Management Plan (Document 5.3.3F(B)) which is submitted at Deadline 5. Additional information on the landowners and occupiers (and their agents) regarding access and other issues during construction is als Code of Construction Practice (Document 5.3.3B(C)) also submitted at Deadline 5.
3	Set out the process for assessing and minimising	Applicant	D5	Habitat loss (including hedgerows) has been minimised throughout the design process in accordance with where possible (e.g. through the use of existing suitable access tracks) being considered first. A precaution hedgerow loss was then assessed in ES Chapter 8 Biodiversity (Document 5.2.8) [APP-080] . This include to 8m of hedgerow at bellmouths (Paragraph 8.9.38, Section 8.9).

Table 2.1 – Deadline 5 Response to ISH3 Action Points

National Grid | July 2023 | Yorkshire GREEN Project

at Deadline 5. This new requirement reads

e to mitigate the impacts of construction hting), has been submitted to and approved

Encampment during the construction

be carried out in accordance with the anning authority.

mitigation applies. This reads: " "Travellers' on that these plots are occupied as a

nitigation is secured with respect to noise, ior to the commencement of any part of **s-009]**. This Requirement includes a because the nature of use and occupation vary accordingly.

ion 8.1 of the **Construction Traffic** the personnel responsible for liaison with also provided in Section 2.2 of the updated

with the mitigation hierarchy, with avoidance utionary reasonable worst case for included an assumption of permanent loss of

hedgerow removal at bellmouths.			During detailed design and construction, the requirement to remove hedgerows will be further minimised in hierarchy, with the incorporation of embedded environmental measures set out in Table 8.11 of ES Chap [APP-080] , and within the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (Document 5.3.3D) [APP-097] , with specifit 8.9.42 , Section 8.9 of ES Chapter 8 Biodiversity (Document 5.2.8) [APP-080] . This includes Measure Measure 6: Maintaining habitat connectivity, in accordance with which, the detailed design and construction for works and avoid or minimise effects on important habitats including hedgerows. As detailed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 of the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (Document 5.3.3D) [APP-0 Principal Contractor's ecologist would undertake a site walkover to identify ecologically sensitive areas ar would include sections of hedgerow scheduled for removal identified on the Tree Removal and Protection Impact Assessment , Appendix 3I, Volume 5, Document 5.3.31 , as updated by the Tree and Hedgerow Requirement 6 of the Draft Development Consent Order (Document 3.1(D)) . Through discussion betw Principal Contractor's ecologist and the Project Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) reporting to National C the minimum necessary to enable the works to proceed. Appropriate delineation would be installed to ide hedgerows) within the construction areas to ensure their retention and protection during the works, in acc Protection Strategy as secured by Requirement 6 of the Draft Development Consent order (Document 5.3.3D) [APP-097] , of a timely manner once works are complete in each area, to minimise periods of habitat loss. Any deviations from the Tree Removal and Protection Plan/Tree and Hedgerow Protection Strategy would Project ECoW, arboriculturist and relevant Local Planning Authority where necessary. The Principal Cont Ecology Register (SER) set out in the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (Document 5.3.3D) [APP-097], of receptors (including hedgerows where applicable) which would be reviewed by the
Set out in the next version of the CoCP the respective roles of the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) and the Land Officer. Specify who employs each role and duration of employment.	Applicant	D5	Information on the roles of the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) and the Land Officer which addresses th in Section 2.2 of the updated Code of Construction Practice (Document 5.3.3B(C)) submitted at Dead
Provide commentary on the Applicant's approach to ongoing liaison during the operation and maintenance period via the Land Officer.	Applicant	D5	Once the assets are constructed and handed over to the operational business, access to the land will be National Grid to fulfil its licence obligations. Access would be taken in accordance with the provisions in th of the rights set out in the Order and Book of Reference if powers need to be exercised compulsorily) in or activities as detailed in the Company's Undertaking. Where voluntary agreements have been reached, National Grid's existing infrastructure team aim to prov landowners and occupiers as appropriate This notification confirms the maintenance route as identified in access and identifies any other access information including any locked gates or barriers, cropping or oth game shooting that National Grid need to be aware of.
	removal at bellmouths.	removal at bellmouths. Set out in the next version of the CoCP the respective roles of the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) and the Land Officer. Specify who employs each role and duration of employment. Provide commentary on the Applicant's approach to ongoing liaison during the operation and maintenance period via the	removal at bellmouths.Set out in the next version of the CoCP the respective roles of the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) and the Land Officer. Specify who employs each role and duration of employment.Applicant Applicant D5D5Provide commentary on the Applicant's approach to ongoing liaison during the operation and maintenance period via theApplicant beliant beliant beliant beliant beliantD5

ed in accordance with the mitigation apter 8 Biodiversity (Document 5.2.8) cific reference to hedgerows in Paragraph re 3: Minimise land take and micro-site, and ction phases would minimise the land take

P-097], prior to any vegetation removal, the and to update the ecological baseline. This on Plan (**Annex 3I.3** to the **Arboricultural** ow Protection Strategy secured by tween the Principal Contractor and the I Grid, hedgerow loss would be restricted to dentify retained habitat features (including accordance with the Tree and Hedgerow **ent 3.1 (D)).** All temporarily lost or degraded a diversity in agreement with landowners) in

uld be agreed in advance between the intractor's ecologist would maintain a Site of works conducted affecting ecological isure adherence with the Biodiversity ice (CoCP) (Document 5.3.3B(B)) [REP2-

the points raised in this Action is provided adline 5.

e required from time to time in order for the deed of easement (or under the terms order for National Grid to carry out

				A courtesy call / text can be sent 24-48 hours before access if requested by the landowner and depending condition may be taken. For all work the landowners and occupiers would be provided with a point of com- activities and requirements on the land, and any proven and justified losses, damage or disturbance woul completion of the works in line with the compensation code. Where rights are secured through compulsory acquisition the terms of the Development Consent Order w 3.1(D)) states in Article 36(2) that a minimum of 14 days' notice would be provided in writing and that a N given once the works were finished. Points of contact and compensation matters are consistent with the a is reached.
6	Consolidate all Environmental Statement errata and addenda into one document. Any further updates to be added to the consolidated document.	Applicant	D5	ES Addendum Parts 1 and 2 have been consolidated into one ES Addendum document (Document 5.2.2 Addendum Parts 1 and 2 (Documents 5.2.20 [REP1-013] and Document 5.2.21 [REP3-010]) are replace Addendum (Document 5.2.22) as it contains all the information previously included in the earlier ES Addendums to the ES will be added to Document 5.2.22.
7	Review definition and description of temporary construction compounds in the dDCO to ensure there is sufficient clarity about what they are likely to comprise.	Applicant	D5	National Grid do not consider that a defined term for 'temporary construction compounds' is necessary be within each temporary construction compound is included within the description at limb (I) of associated d locations of compounds are identified on the relevant Works Plan (Document 2.6.1-2.6.6) . Any definition ensure that nothing was inadvertently excluded, in which case, the definition would add nothing to what is (Document 3.1(D)) . With respect to the articles of the draft DCO, the term 'temporary construction compounds' was only refer construction works'. This defined term is then only used once within the Articles of the draft DCO at Article to clarify that where land within the Order limits is used for temporary construction works, section 57(2) (p development) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (1990 Act) applies as if the development consport permission granted for a limited period. This article is not designed to provide practical scope as to what se construction compound or tie to any activities relating to the temporary construction compounds which ma legal position that these works are not permanent. To further clarify this, the defined term for 'temporary che draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) submitted at deadline 5 to remove reference to construction compound which ma within the draft DCO.
8	Article 5: consider 5(1)(c) whether a change in drafting is required to limit where the 6m Limit of Deviation (LoD) is relevant (new versus modified pylons).	Applicant	D5	National Grid has not amended Article 5 but has provided the following explanation within paragraph 4.9 "Whilst not expressly stated in Article 5(1)(c), the 6 metre limit of vertical deviation in respect of linear wor Reconductoring of a pylon does not involve works to raise a pylon's height, and the works authorised und which works amount to reconductoring. In this respect, the undertaker is restricted by the description of th ."
12	Ensure that the provisions of	Applicant	D5	The draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) has been updated so that all instances with a 28-day deemed consert application for such consent, notice that this provision applies. Amendments have been made in this resp

ling on the extent of the access, a record of ontact to keep them updated on day to day ould be paid as a compensation claim post

would apply. The draft DCO **(Document** Notice of completion of works would be approach taken if a voluntary agreement

2.22) which is submitted at Deadline 5. ES laced by the new consolidated **ES** ddendums Parts 1 and 2. Any subsequent

because the detail of what will be included I development within Schedule 1 and the on provided would be necessarily broad to t is already contained within the **draft DCO**

erenced within the definition of 'temporary icle 9 (application of the 1990 Act). It serves (planning permission required for asent granted by the DCO were planning at should constitute a temporary may be carried out. It simply clarifies the construction works' has been amended in unds.

for 'temporary construction compound'

9.2 of the Explanatory Memorandum:

orks does not apply to existing pylons. nder the description in Schedule 1 specify the authorised development in Schedule 1.

ent provision must also include, on any spect to articles 11, 16, 19, 21 and 46.

	article 13(6), i.e. requiring the provision of a statement, apply to all relevant articles of the dDCO concerning deemed consent.			
13	Check and ensure consistency across articles specifying a timescale in relation to deemed consent as to whether timescales relate to the 'making' of an application or 'receipt' of an application.	Applicant	D5	The draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) has been updated so that there is consistency in respect of when the confirmed to run from when the respective application is received. Articles 11 (Street works), 13 (Power t (Temporary stopping up of streets, cycle tracks and public rights of way) and 16 (Access to works) have I
14	Discuss and agree the wording of article 13(2) with North Yorkshire Council (NYC) regarding 'within or adjacent to Order limits'.	Applicant	D5	National Grid attended a highway workshop within North Yorkshire Council (NYC) on 7 June 2023, during was discussed. At the workshop, the section of article 13(2) referring to 'within or adjacent to the Order Li concern. A follow-up email was sent to NYC on 13 June 2023, specifically seeking confirmation that they further follow up email was sent on the 27 June. A response was not received. Within the SoCG submitte specific wording is not an area identified as 'outstanding' or 'not agreed'. On this basis, National Grid con
15	Assess whether article 16 should also make reference to NH in addition to the relevant planning authority and update the dDCO accordingly.	Applicant	D5	Article 16 provides that, for accesses not listed in Schedule 9 (access to works) the consent of the relevant the relevant highway authority, is required. National Highways, therefore, have a consultation right in the permission for an access was sought under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (TCPA) regime. Or it appropriate to require two separate approvals from both the relevant planning authority and the relevant highway authority would not have an approval right in the event that any such access was consented sep Requirement 14 provides an approval right for the relevant highway authority, which is not subject to deel works to construct or temporarily alter any new or existing means of access to a highway to be used by v
16	Consider including in any Protective Provision with NH appropriate	Applicant	D5	National Grid is continuing engagement with National Highways in respect of the Protective Provisions. B the face of the draft DCO at Deadline 5 (Document 3.1(D)). These provisions are not agreed by National position that their standard template provisions should apply regardless of the impacts to the SRN associ Grid consider that the provisions on the face of the draft DCO respond to the concerns raised by National

he 28-day timescale commences. This is r to alter layout, etc. of streets), 14 e been updated in this respect.

ing which the wording of relevant articles Limits' was not raised as a specific area of ey were now satisfied within this wording. A tted at deadline 5 (**Document 8.5.2(C)**) this onsider this wording to now be agreed.

vant planning authority, in consultation with he same respect as they would have if On this basis, National Grid do not consider ant highway authority because the relevant eparately under the TCPA regime.

eemed approval, in relation to the detail of v vehicular traffic.

Bespoke provisions have been placed on nal Highways, who have maintained the ociated with the Project. However, National nal Highways during the hearings.

	wording to reflect its concerns about drainage to the Strategic Road Network (SRN) (article 19) and that interest in NH land could be temporarily suspended (articles 38 and 39).			
17	Liaise over works on land in which NH has an interest which is outside the SRN.	Applicant, NH	D5	National Grid is engaging with National Highways in respect of land over which it has an interest. Nationa and discussions are progressing with the agent. National Highways' agent is reviewing the voluntary term meeting to discuss these.
18	Update dDCO with the Internal Drainage Board's (IDB) comments and/ or set out different positions, following meeting on 14 June 2023.	Applicant	D5	National Grid attended a meeting with the York Consortium of Drainage Boards (representing the Ainsty a with the Shire Group of Drainage Boards (representing Kyle and Upper Ouse IDB) on the 16 June 2023. During both meetings significant progress was made, and the current position is reflected within the relevant 8.5.13(B) and 8.5.16(B)) . Where matters are outstanding, engagement will continue, with the aim of reac Deadline 7.
20	Continue discussions regarding in-built process for pre- application consultation before consent for approvals are lodged with regards article 45 and report back.	Applicant, NYC, City of York Council (CYC), Leeds City Council (LCC)	D5	National Grid have continued to liaise with the host Local Planning Authorities (which include the relevant process for pre-application submissions, including those associated with article 45 (traffic regulations). Du National Grid spoke to each of the authorities, setting out their proposal for a 2-week (14 day) period for e applications coming forward relating to articles. This 2-week period, combined with the 28 days stipulated 42 days, as preferred by the Highway Authorities. The above would be funded through a Service Level Ag confirmed this funding could be used for external consultants to fulfil the role, if the Highway Authorities a constraints. All Highways Authorities indicated a preference to undertake this work in-house. National Grid explained that their preference for a period of engagement to be undertaken prior to the form ensure the submitted formal application reflects any comments from the Highway Authority, allowing it to within the 28 days set out within the dDCO (Document 3.1(D)). This is essential to ensure the Project rer timescale in which it must be delivered. In addition to the above, National Grid have also committed to providing the Highway Authorities with morperiod, either as part of the regular monthly update meetings, or as specific highways updates. This will e foresight of what applications they will likely receive.

nal Highways has now appointed an agent must and National Grid is arranging a

and Foss IDBs) on the 14 June 2023 and .

evant SoCGs (**Document 8.5.12(B)**, aching agreement on outstanding points by

ant Highway Authorities) regarding the During the week commencing 5 June 2023, r engagement, prior to any formal ed within the relevant articles, would total Agreement, and National Grid have are unable to fulfil this due to resource

ormal application being submitted, is to to be determined in a timely manner, and remains on track, given the very tight

nonthly updates through the construction I ensure the Highways Authorities have

(D)) will provide the authorities with

				During engagement with the relevant authorities, the above approach has been welcomed. However, the to fully review and consider the Service Level Agreement, and until they have had the opportunity to do s matter within the relevant SoCGs submitted at Deadline 5.
21	Include the Environmental Statement (ES) in the list of documents to be certified in the next iteration of the dDCO.	Applicant	D5	The draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) has been updated and submitted at Deadline 5 to include the Enviror documents in article 48.
23	Update the description of Work No. U8.	Applicant	D5	The draft DCO (Document 3.1 (D)) has been updated and submitted at Deadline 5 to include an updated
24	Provide in Schedule 3 R1 a definition of 'piling operations' (as stated in R7(2)).	Applicant	D5	The term piling operations is used within the context of Requirement 7 to provide additional restriction in restriction hours constraints within Requirement 7. This term has a natural meaning, which is precedent for defining this term in DCOs that National Grid can identify. Therefore, National Grid do not p because it is not necessary and to do so could inadvertently restrict the operation of the Requirement (where the such that the Requirement offers less protection to local communities from piling operations.
25	R1: for description of 'start up and close down activities' consider removing 'audible' and tighten up definition of 'low key maintenance'.	Applicant	D5	The objective of Requirement 7, to which the definition for 'start up and close down activities' relates, is a Other control measures, for disturbances such as lighting, are controlled through the mitigation plans via appropriate that the only disturbance linked to Requirement 7 is 'audible' because this clarifies the purpose. Whilst, by their nature, the activities listed within the definition would be unlikely to cause any other form of intention of the requirement if the reference to 'audible' disturbance was removed. With regards 'low key maintenance', this term is designed to cover activities such as checks on plant, eque equipment is in good working order for the day ahead (for example, checking oil levels and topping up as would also cover any cleaning of equipment which may need to be undertaken prior to work commencing maintenance which may need to be undertaken ahead of construction starting for the day (or as part of an necessarily broad. It is still subject to the control requiring that any low key maintenance activities do not reason, National Grid consider the term needs to remain in its current form.
27	R5 and R6: consider adding to definitions to clarify difference between outline soil management plan (R5(3)) and soils and aftercare management plan (R(6)(1)(a)).	Applicant	D5	The Outline Soil Management Plan (Document 5.3.3E(B)), [REP2-022]) secured by Requirement 5(3) of provides a framework for the future development of the detailed Soil and Aftercare Management Plan sector pre-commencement works prior to the detailed Soil and Aftercare Management Plan being submitted a contain detailed provisions for aftercare, which is why the full Soil and Aftercare Management plan is nam Management Plan. An update has been made to the Explanatory Memorandum submitted at Deadline 5, and provide clarity (Document 3.2(D)).

ne relevant Planning Authorities require time o so, this point remains an outstanding

onmental Statement in the list of certified

ed description of Work No. U8.

n respect of this activity above and beyond is well understood. Additionally, there is no t propose to define this term within the DCO which seeks to limit piling to certain times)

a means of controlling noise disturbance. ia Requirements 5 and 6. Accordingly, it is ose of the Requirement.

of disturbance, it would change the

quipment and vehicles making sure as well as routine servicing). This term ng. Due to the broad spectrum of minor any close down procedure) this term is of form an audible disturbance. For this

of the draft DCO (**Document 3.1(D)**) secured by Requirement 6 and would apply d and approved. Therefore, it does not amed differently to the Outline Soil 5, at paragraph 5.3.7 to explain this further

28	R8, 9, 10: in addition to Applicant's proposed changes, consider including a separate plan/ input to outline Tree and Hedgerow Protection Strategy (THPS) or additional wording in the CoCP to explain the different elements of the proposed planting.	Applicant	D5	The amended wording of Requirements 8, 9 and 10 makes reference to the Tree and Hedgerow Protection Requirement 6 and the Code of Construction Practice (Document 5.3.3B(C)). The amended wording identifies the different elements of the proposed landscaping works and where they are located. Requirement 8 secures a landscape strategy for the non-linear works at Overton, Tadcaster and Monk Fr submitted outline landscape mitigation strategy. Requirement 9 secures the THPS with sub paragraph 2(a) to (d) setting out the elements to be included. retained and fence positions, a specification for fencing, a schedule of tree works and details of an audita elements are included in outline as part of the submitted Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Document 5 exception of a plan showing the positions of tree protection fencing, which is not considered appropriate to potential for tree loss or impacts to change (resulting in a significant volume of abortive work). Requirement 10 secures a replacement planting scheme to replace trees and hedges identified to be rem The THPS is concerned solely with the removal or retention and protection of existing trees, it does not con- the development of both the landscape strategy (Requirement 8) and the replacement planting scheme (I National Grid does not consider that any additional outline information in relation to the THPS is appropria- information provided in outline within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Document 5.3.3I(B) part 1 o National Grid considers the updated wording in the draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) for Requirements 8, 9 relation to the different elements and locations of proposed planting and that no additional plans or wordin CoCP wording) are necessary. In addition, an explanation of Requirements 8, 9 and 10 has been include (Document 3.2(D)) submitted at Deadline 5. References to updated Requirements will be reviewed across relevant submitted documents and any upde Environmental Statement Errata at Deadline 6.
30	R18: secure additional elements from DASSI (colour of all buildings, acoustic fencing and subtle variations in landform) as proposed and consider LCC's point regarding colour of peripheral fencing.	Applicant	D5	Requirement 18 of the draft DCO (Document 3.1(D)) has been updated and submitted at Deadline 5. Th the external colour and surface finish of permanent buildings and the external colour of the acoustic enclour Fryston Substation. Variations on landform and colour of fencing have not been included for the reasons set out in the respon Applicant's Comments on Interested Parties' Deadline 4 Submissions (Document 8.24). It should be in the draft DCO (Landscaping at Overton, Tadcaster and Monk Fryston) now also includes for the details earthworks, so secures variations in landform in this respect in the most suitable requirement.
31	Schedule 4: consider whether those requirements for which discharge requires consultation should have	Applicant	D5	National Grid proposed to keep the discharge time scales the same for all requirements, rather than exter consultation is required. Based on previous experience, having different time scales for different requirem unnecessary given the pre-application process proposed and outlined in the S.106 Agreement. National Grid have continued to liaise with the host Local Authorities and propose a 6-week (42 day) pre- day) full formal application process, which is set out in Schedule 4 of the dDCO (Document 3.1(D)). This the 8-weeks requested by the Local Planning Authorities.

ction Strategy (THPS), cross referencing g of Requirements 8, 9 and 10 more clearly Fryston which will accord with the I. This includes a plan showing trees to be table system of compliance. All of these : 5.3.3I(B) part 1 of 3) [REP4-010] with the to provide at this stage due to the moved in the THPS. consider proposed planting but will inform (Requirement 10). riate at this stage due to the level of of 3) [REP4-010] as detailed above. 9 and 10 now provides sufficient clarity in ding (such as an outline THPS or revised ded in the Explanatory Memorandum pdates will be addressed via the

This includes a control over the details of closures at Overton Substation and Monk

onse to North Yorkshire Council in be noted that the updated Requirement 8 ails of the proposed levels of any permanent

tend these for requirements where ements creates confusion, and is

e-application period, prior to the 5 week (35 his totals 11 weeks, a greater duration than

	different (longer) time scales.			The approach sought is to ensure National Grid receive an early steer from the Local Authorities regarding application documentation, meaning the formal application can reflect feedback received, and be determine to ensure the Project is delivered in the tight timescales required. The above approach would be funded and agreed within a service level agreement (SLA), secured via a Stee Local Planning Authorities that the funding could be used to procure external consultants to manage the 8.5.3(C) , and 8.5.4(C) , as some Local Planning Authorities require sight of the SLA prior to formally compared to the above, National Grid will continue hosting monthly update meetings to ensure the Local understanding of the proposed programme, and when each stage, and associated requirements will be consulted to the associated applications) will come forward.
34	For all proposed s106 agreements: - provide a note to explain why this is an appropriate mechanism to secure the commitments (rather than Requirements), and; - set out how the policy and legislative tests for planning obligations would be complied with.	Applicant	D5	The CIL Compliance Statement for S106 Agreement Pursuant to Section 106 of the Town and Cour 8.26) has been submitted at Deadline 5 to provide clarity as to why the obligations contained within the dr appropriate as planning obligations rather than Requirements and also to confirm compliance with the Co 2010.

ing the acceptability of the submitted prenined in a timely manner. This is essential

a S106. National Grid have confirmed to a this process if it is required.

ant SoCGs (Documents 8.5.2(C), mmenting on the above approach.

al Planning Authorities have a clear coming forward. Furthermore, the written prities with significant foresight in terms of

untry Planning Act 1990 (Document draft Section 106 Agreement are Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152